



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/891,609	06/26/2001	Leonidas Stamatatos	2570-1-001 N	8884
23565	7590 08/24/2004		EXAMINER	
KLAUBER & JACKSON			PARKIN, JEFFREY S	
	ISACK AVENUE CK, NJ 07601		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
III TOTALINOI TO	JR, 113 07001		1648	
			DATE MAILED: 08/24/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/891,609	STAMATATOS ET AL.			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jeffrey S. Parkin, Ph.D.	1648			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a		the correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 03/10 & 06/28/04 FAILS TO PLATHEREFORE, further action by the applicant is required final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of A Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114	to avoid abandonment of this er: (1) a timely filed amendme ppeal (with appeal fee); or (3)	application. A proper reply to nt which places the applicatio	oa nin		
PERIOD FOR	REPLY [check either a) or b)]			
 a)	s Advisory Action, or (2) the date set for ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS he date on which the petition under 37 (extension and the corresponding amoun	date of the final rejection. OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See M OFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extention of the fee. The appropriate extension	PEP Insion fee In fee under		
 (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appell 	ee months after the mailing date of the the factorial lant's Brief must be filed within	inal rejection, even if timely filed, may a	reduce any		
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37	CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dism	issal of the appeal.			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	ed because:				
(a) they raise new issues that would require f	urther consideration and/or se	arch (see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see No					
(c) they are not deemed to place the applicat issues for appeal; and/or	ion in better form for appeal b	y materially reducing or simp	lifying the		
(d) they present additional claims without ca	nceling a corresponding numb	er of finally rejected claims.			
NOTE: see item 10 below.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following in	•				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) w canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ould be allowable if submitted	in a separate, timely filed am	nendment		
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) reque application in condition for allowance because		n considered but does NOT p	lace the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	d because it is not directed SC	LELY to issues which were n	ewly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendation of how the new or amended claim			an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	ows:				
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: 1-23.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a)		ed by the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stat					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

10. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>

Art Unit: 1648

Jeffrey S. Parkin, Ph.D. Examiner

Continuation of 10. Other: The supplemental information disclosure statement filed 10 March, 2004, fails to comply with the provisions of 37

CFR 1.97(d/e) and MPEP § 609. Applicants reply failed to contain a statement as required under 37 CFR 1.97(e) and the requisite fee as set forth under 1.97(d). Moreover, applicants failed to provide good and sufficient reason why the information was not earlier presented. The IDS has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has NOT been considered as to the merits. Applicants are reminded that a request for continued examination (RCE) may be filed under 37 CFR 1.114 to have the information considered by the examiner.

Concerning the request for reconsideration and accompanying exhibits submitted 28 July, 2004, the reply will NOT be considered because good and sufficient reasons as to why it and the attendant exhibits were not earlier presented has not been provided. Applicants are reminded that they cannot, as a matter of right, amend any finally rejected claims, add new claims after a final rejection (see 37 CFR 1.116) or reinstate previously canceled claims. Applicants reply will require more than a cursory review. A request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 with the appropriate fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) would result in consideration of the submission.